

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/686,546	10/11/2000	Charles E Covatch	10294-539001	5745
7	590 06/17/2002			
TIMOTHY A		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 225 Franklin Street			STASHICK, ANTHONY D	
Boston, MA 02110-2804			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

3728 DATE MAILED: 06/17/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
, ·			
Interview Summary	09/686,546	COVATCH, CHARLES E	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anthony D Stashick	3728	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative,	PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Anthony D Stashick</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>David Chen (Reg. # 44,613)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 11 June 2002.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applica		utative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Ye If Yes, brief description:	es e)⊡ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 1.			
Identification of prior art discussed: Crowley '688, Sch	absky '811, Johnsen '829, Tur	ner '836, Avilles Palazzo '450 .	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reach	ned. g)⊠ was not reached.	h)	
Substance of Interview including description of the ge reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation S</u>		ed to if an agreement was	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ar allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	no copy of the amendments to		
i)⊠ It is not necessary for applicant to provide checked).	e a separate record of the subs	stance of the interview(if box is	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERV	/IEW. (See MPEP Section 71	3.04). If a reply to the last Office	

MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ANTHONY D. STASHICK

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Chen discussed concerns with the combinations of the references applied and the placement of the instep protectoe between the vamp and lining as well as the protector having hollow chambers. Anthony stated that the tongue of Schabsky was considered a liner but that Johnsen and turner each teach the placement of an instep protector between a liner and a vamp. Anthony also pointed out that Avilles Palazzo teaches the use of hollow chamber to protect the instep from impact and the obviousness of using the hollow chambers in one of the other protectors located between the lining and the vamp.